

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States	of Americ <mark>a</mark> ,) (Case No. 3-23-M5-71604 MAG
v.	Plaintiff,		TIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME INDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
ROBIN A RRASOLA-	-CONTRERAS) A	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
	Defendant(s).)	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on October 30, 2023, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from October 30, 2023 to 11/2/2023 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):			
	e to grant a continuance wou U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ıld be likely	to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defend or law,	ants, the nature of the that it is unreasonable to ex	e prosecution pect adequate	check applicable reasons] the number of n, or the existence of novel questions of fact ate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
			defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ce. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
counse		nmitments,	ably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
necessa	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
disposi paragra the tim extend	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). <i>See</i> Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).		
IT IS SO OR	DERED.		L. n.
DATED: Octo	ber 30, 2023	,	ASA J. CISNEROS
	12		Inited States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATEI		-	
	Attorney for Defendant	F	Assistant United States Attorney
	141		GARTH HINE